





P. Helfenstein^a, I. Mochi^a, R. Rajendran^a, S. Fernandez^a, S. Yoshitake^b, Y. Ekinci^a

^aPaul Scherrer Institut, Switzerland ^bNuFlare Technology Inc., Japan

SCDI for EUV photomask metrology

RESCAN - Reflective EUV Mask Scanning Lensless Imaging Tool



Our Goal

• RESCAN project overview

Background

- 2-step method for defect inspection
- short introduction to Ptychography & scattering contrast microscopy
- experimental setup

Results

reconstructed sample mask

Future

• outlook & conclusions



RESCAN: Project Overview

- Goal
- develop scanning coherent lens-less imaging methods
 - algorithms and instrumentation
 - provide EUV metrology in reflection mode
- provide method for actinic pattern inspection of EUV reticles
- reach a resolution of 38 nm with high throughput and sensitivity
- Research Plan
 - implement mask defect inspection (at 6° incidence angle) at the Swiss Light Source (SLS)
 - maximize performance in terms of resolution and throughput
 - develop stand-alone prototype for mask defect inspection



Mask Inspection in Real Space



- output is easy to interpret
- resolution is limited by optics
- limited depth of focus
- needs expensive optics



Mask Inspection in Fourier Space



- resolution is defined by detector size
- large depth of focus
- output is hard to interpret and needs complex algorithms
- easy setup due to absence of objective lens



RESCAN: a 2-Step Approach

- Idea
 - combine real space and Fourier space inspection into a 2-step method
 - i. rough inspection with Scanning Scattering Contrast Microscopy to locate defects



ii. fine inspection using phase retrieval algorithm in areas with defects





Scanning Coherent Diffractive Imaging

Ptychography

- no image formation optics
- resolution is not limited by optics
- large depth of focus
- scan across specimen to get multiple diffraction patterns with redundant data
- simultaneously recover incident illumination (Probe) and sample structure (Object)
- solve the phase problem





$$\psi_j(\mathbf{r}) = P(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_j)O(\mathbf{r})$$

constraints:

i. reconstructed magnitude must match measured magnitude

ii.data in overlapping areas must match

iteration:

$$\hat{O}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\sum_{j} \hat{P}^{*}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{j})\psi_{j}(\mathbf{r})}{\sum_{j} |\hat{P}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_{j})|^{2}}$$
$$\hat{P}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\sum_{j} \hat{O}^{*}(\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{r}_{j})\psi_{j}(\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{r}_{j})}{\sum_{j} |\hat{O}(\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{r}_{j})|^{2}}.$$



RESCAN – Experimental Setup





RESCAN – Experimental Setup





RESCAN – Experimental Setup





RESCAN – Experimental Setup





SCDI Reconstructed Image

Reconstructed Sample











Detecting a 10 nm CD Error









Reference



Gap











• sample

• HSQ on multilayer

•method & challenges

- die-to-die inspection, reference pattern and several defects
- SSCM is more challenging due to background (LER, noise), need higher contrast
- alignment is important
- SCDI remains the same





Fast Detector

- Hybrid CMOS detector: Jungfrau
 - 2 kHz acquisition rate
 - 10⁶ photons/pixel dynamic range
 - 60% quantum efficiency
 - $-75 \,\mu m$ pixel size
 - 50 e⁻ rms noise







Compact Source For Actinic Mask Inspection







Thank you all for listening

special thanks to the members of our group at PSI

we acknowledge financial support from **NUFL**